UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,919	03/18/2004	Evan C. Unger	006086.00020	5427
LISA A. HAILI	7590 03/24/200 E J.D. P.H.D.	EXAMINER		
DLA PIPER US	SLLP	AUDET, MAURY A		
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92121-2133	1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/802,919	UNGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	MAURY AUDET	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	of Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for later than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriation is the final officer.	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value.  AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see No ow);	OTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•		77.01.004
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	):		
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 105-110. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 111-116.		vill be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by</li> </ul>		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Cecilia Tsang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654			

**Application No. 10/802,919** 

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's filing of the Terminal Disclaimer over 10/802,919, is acknowledged, and would otherwise allow the Double Patenting rejection to be withdrawn. However, the amendment of the claims raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Namely, the new amendments to the method, now recite new and/or different steps, which are not commensurate in scope with the originally filed/examined claims. The method is now drawn to a step of first forming (e.g. making) protein-halide composition; prior to administering it as part of the method. It is unclear whether these are to now be formed as conjugate, or remain separate; but in either instance they are now required as a separate step requiring that they be formed in some fasion as a composition, firstly. And then secondly, administered as part of the method. In the previously examined claims, a protein separately and an organic halide separately were administered separately such a product was already made and the only step was that of administering the same for the intended method. The rejectins applied under 102(b) and 35 USC 103 are maintained for the reasons of record.